

HOLOMORPHIC SERIES EXPANSION OF FUNCTIONS OF CARLEMAN TYPE ON THE INTERVAL $[-1, 1]$

Hicham Zoubeir

Communicated by

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize the functions of some Carleman classes on the unit interval $[-1, 1]$ as sums of holomorphic functions in specific neighborhoods of $[-1, 1]$. As an application of our main theorem, we perform an alternative construction of the E. M. Dyn'kin's pseudoanalytic extension for these Carleman classes on $[-1, 1]$.

1. Introduction

In 1926 ([8]) T. Carleman has raised the problem of the representation of the functions of a quasianalytic class in terms of their successive derivatives at a given point. He has noticed that this problem should be solved by a decomposition method. This problem was also raised by G. Julia in 1925 ([13], [14], [15]), while he was looking for an algorithmic generalisation of the classical E. Borel process which generates classes of quasi-analytic functions from sequences of complex numbers whose limit is 0. In 1962 ([2]) G. V. Badalyan has given, by his theory of quasi-powers (a generalisation to quasianalytic Carleman classes of Taylor series expansion) the complete solution to the Carleman's problem. In 1970 ([3]) G. V. Badalyan has generalised his theory to some nonquasianalytic classes. In 1991 ([10], pages 249-253) J. Ecalle has obtained for the functions of a regular Carleman class on a segment $[a, b]$, a series expansion into holomorphic functions on specific neighborhoods of $[a, b]$. More recently in 2004, T. Belghiti has obtained for certain Carleman classes on arbitrary bounded convex planar domains ([4]) a similar but more explicit holomorphic expansion series. Let us observe that the approach in ([4]) and ([10]) relies mainly on the theorem of pseudoanalytic extension due to E. M. Dyn'kin ([9]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30D60; Secondary 41A20.

Key words and phrases: Carleman classes.

Improving the methods of J. Ecalle and T. Belghiti, we have obtained in ([5]) a characterization of the functions of a Gevrey class on $[-1, 1]$ as sums of series of holomorphic functions in suitable neighborhoods of $[-1, 1]$, and in the present paper we generalise this method to some Carleman classes on the unit interval $[-1, 1]$. As an application of our main theorem, we derive an alternative construction of the E. M. Dyn'kin's pseudoanalytic extension for these Carleman classes.

2. Preliminary notes

In this section we summarize some basic notations, definitions and preliminaries which are essential for the discussions in the sections 4 and 5.

Let S be a nonempty subsets of \mathbb{C} and $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a bounded function. $\|f\|_{\infty, S}$ denotes the quantity

$$\|f\|_{\infty, S} = \sup_{z \in S} |f(z)|$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we set

$$\varrho(z, S) := \inf_{u \in S} |z - u|$$

For $r > 0$, $B(z, r)$ is the usual open ball in \mathbb{C} with center z and radius r .

For every nonempty subset E of \mathbb{C} , we set

$$E_r := E + B(0, r) := \{z + u : z \in E, u \in B(0, r)\}$$

Thus we have

$$E_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \varrho(z, E) < r\}$$

$\mathcal{O}(E)$ denotes the set of holomorphic functions on some neighborhood of E .

Let U be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{C} . Let $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function of class C^1 on U . We set then for all $z \in U$

$$\bar{\partial}F(z) := \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(z) + i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(z) \right]$$

$\bar{\partial}$ is called the Cauchy-Riemann operator.

Given a property $\mathfrak{P}(x)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that $\mathfrak{P}(x)$ holds ultimately if there exists $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathfrak{P}(x)$ holds for all $x \geq a_0$. We define an equivalence relation on the set \mathcal{F} of real valued functions which are defined on a real half-line by writing $f =_{\infty} g$ if we have $f(x) = g(x)$ ultimately. We denote by $[f]$ the class of equivalence of a function $f \in \mathcal{F}$ for the equivalence relation $=_{\infty}$. The quotient set $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F}/=_{\infty}$ is endowed with operations of addition and multiplication induced by those of \mathcal{F} making \mathcal{G} into a commutative ring. The classes of equivalence for this relations are called the germs of functions at $+\infty$. To simplify the writing we will identify the germ $[f]$ with its representant f . We consider the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers as a subring of \mathcal{G} , by identifying a real number a with the germ of the function $x \mapsto a$.

We denote by \mathcal{G}_1 the subring of \mathcal{G} consisting of the germs of functions that are ultimately of class C^1 . A subring \mathfrak{N} of \mathcal{G}_1 is called a Hardy field if \mathfrak{N} is a field which is stable by derivation. Functions belonging to a Hardy field \mathfrak{N} have the following properties : they are ultimately strictly monotone unless they are ultimately constant, they are ultimately of constant sign unless they are ultimately

identically vanishing. It follows that for every $f \in \aleph$ the limite $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x)$ exists in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$, and that for every $f, g \in \aleph$ we have ultimately one of the following cases $f(x) < g(x)$, $g(x) < f(x)$, $f(x) = g(x)$.

We say that an element f of \aleph is bounded if $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$, infinitesimal if $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$, and infinite if $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} |f(x)| = +\infty$.

If $f, g \in \aleph$ and g is infinite and ultimately positive, then $f \circ g \in \mathcal{G}_1$ is by definition the germ in \mathcal{G}_1 such that ultimately $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$.

In our work we will need the following results.

THEOREM 1. (([1]), ([19]))

Let f be an infinite and ultimately positive element of a Hardy field \aleph . Then there exists a Hardy field \mathcal{H} and a germ $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that g is an infinite and ultimately positive element of the Hardy field \mathcal{H} and $(f \circ g)(x) = x$ ultimately. g is called the inverse of f and is denoted by $g^{(-1)}$.

THEOREM 2. (([1]), ([18]), ([20]))

Let $F(Y), G(Y) \in \aleph[Y]$ and $y \in G_1$ be such that $G(y) \neq 0$ and $y' G(y) = F(y)$ (in G_1). Then the ring of germs $\aleph[y]$ is an integral domain with fraction field $\aleph(y) \subset G_1$, and $\aleph(y)$ is a Hardy field.

As a consequence of this theorem, it follows that a Hardy field \aleph can be enlarged to a Hardy field \aleph_0 containing the germ Id of the identity function and the germ \ln of the logarithmic function. The following theorem provides a strong generalisation of this remark.

THEOREM 3. ([7])

Let \aleph be a Hardy field. There exists a Hardy field \aleph_0 containing \aleph such that the germs at $+\infty$ of the functions $\exp \circ f$, $\ln \circ |f|$ belong to \aleph_0 for every function $f \in \aleph_0$ which is not ultimately identically vanishing.

A positive function measurable fuction f defined on some neighborhood of $+\infty$ is said to be regularly varying with index $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(Cx)}{f(x)} = C^\tau, \quad C > 0$$

We set $\mathfrak{J}(f) := \tau$. If $\mathfrak{J}(f) = 0$, then we will say that the function f is slowly varying.

If f is regularly varying with index τ then there exists a slowly varying function L such that for $f(x) = x^\tau L(x)$, for sufficiently lage values of x .

Let f be a function defined on an interval of the form $[a, +\infty[$ such that f is strictly positive and belongs as a germ at $+\infty$ to a Hardy field. Then according to ([12]), the function f is regularly varying if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then we have

$$\mathfrak{J}(f) = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)}$$

THEOREM 4. (Potter's bounds, ([6]))

Let f be a regularly varying function of index τ . For every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have ultimately

$$(1 - \varepsilon)x^{\tau - \varepsilon} \leq f(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)x^{\tau + \varepsilon}$$

Let $\mu : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^2 on \mathbb{R}_+^* which belongs, as a germ at $+\infty$, to a Hardy field \mathfrak{N} containing the germ at $+\infty$ of the function $x \mapsto \ln x$. Since the function μ belongs as a germ at $+\infty$ to the Hardy field \mathfrak{N} it follows that the limit

$$\sigma(\mu) := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln(t)}{\mu(t)}$$

exists in $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$. $\sigma(\mu)$ is called the order of the function μ . We assume that

$$0 < \sigma(\mu) < +\infty$$

It follows then that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \mu(t) &= +\infty \\ \mu(t) &= O_{t \rightarrow +\infty}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have by virtue of L'Hopital's rule

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t\mu'(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}$$

Thence we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)} = 0$$

Consequently the function μ is slowly varying.

Consider the function \mathcal{M}_μ defined on $]0, +\infty[$ as follows

$$\mathcal{M}_\mu(t) := t^t e^{t\mu(t)}, t > 0$$

The functions Ω_μ and H_μ are defined on \mathbb{R}_+^* by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\mu(x) &: = \inf_{t > 0} \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}_\mu(t)}{x^t} \right], x > 0 \\ H_\mu(x) &= \inf_{t > 0} \left[\frac{\mathcal{M}_\mu(t)}{t^t x^t} \right], x > 0 \end{aligned}$$

We consider also the sequence $M_\mu := (M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad M_n := \mathcal{M}_\mu(n), n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Let W be a nontrivial interval of \mathbb{R} . The Carleman class $C_{M_\mu}(W)$ is the set of functions f of class C^∞ on W such that

$$\sup_{x \in W} |f^{(n)}(x)| \leq C \rho^n M_n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

where $C, \rho > 0$ are real constants.

We denote by Λ_{M_μ} the set of sequences $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of complex numbers such that

$$|a_n| \leq C \rho^n M_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

where $C, \rho > 0$ are constants.

We denote by ω_μ and h_μ the functions defined by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\mu(x) &: = -\ln[\Omega_\mu(x)] \\ h_\mu(x) &: = -\ln[H_\mu(x)] \end{aligned}$$

γ_μ denotes the function ultimately defined by the system

$$(2.2) \quad x = t^2 \mu'(t), \quad \gamma_\mu(x) = \mu(t) + t \mu'(t)$$

the parameter t being uniquely determined by x . We denote then t by $t_0(x)$.

φ_μ denotes the function defined for sufficiently large values of x by

$$\varphi_\mu(x) := \omega_\mu(x) - x \omega'_\mu(x)$$

The following propositions will play a crucial role in the proof of the main result of this paper.

PROPOSITION 5.

1. The function ω_μ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$(2.3) \quad x = et \exp[\mu(t) + t \mu'(t)], \quad \omega_\mu(x) = t + t^2 \mu'(t)$$

the parameter t being ultimately uniquely determined by x . We denote then t by $t_1(x)$.

2. The function ω_μ is ultimately strictly concave.

3. The function φ_μ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$(2.4) \quad x = et \exp[\mu(t) + t \mu'(t)], \quad \varphi_\mu(x) = t^2 \mu'(t)$$

the parameter t being ultimately uniquely determined by x .

4. The function φ_μ is an increasing diffeomorphism between neighborhoods of $+\infty$. The inverse function $\mathcal{N}_\mu := \varphi_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ is ultimately defined by the system

$$(2.5) \quad x = t^2 \mu'(t), \quad \mathcal{N}_\mu(x) = et \exp[\mu(t) + t \mu'(t)]$$

the parameter t being ultimately uniquely determined by x .

5. The function h_μ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$(2.6) \quad x = \exp[\mu(t) + t \mu'(t)], \quad h_\mu(x) = t^2 \mu'(t)$$

the parameter t being ultimately uniquely determined by x . Furthermore h_μ is ultimately positive and infinite so it has an inverse $h_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ which belongs to a Hardy field.

6. Each of the function $\omega_\mu, \varphi_\mu, h_\mu, \mathcal{N}_\mu, \gamma_\mu$ belongs to a Hardy field.

7. The function γ_μ is slowly varying and the function ω_μ is regularly varying of index

$$(2.7) \quad \mathfrak{I}(\omega_\mu) = \frac{\sigma(\mu)}{1 + \sigma(\mu)}$$

8. The function γ_μ is ultimately positive and infinite and we have

$$(2.8) \quad \gamma_\mu(x) - \mu(x) = \underset{x \rightarrow +\infty}{O}(1)$$

9. We have ultimately

$$(2.9) \quad \omega'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(x)) = \frac{e^{-\gamma_\mu(x)}}{e}$$

$$(2.10) \quad \gamma_\mu(x) = \ln(h_\mu^{(-1)}(x))$$

10. The following relations hold for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$

$$(2.11) \quad \mu(\alpha x) - \mu(x) = \underset{x \rightarrow +\infty}{O}(1)$$

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha \varphi_\mu(x)}}{\varphi'_\mu(x)} = 0$$

Proof

1. Thanks to ([4]), the function h_μ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$x = \exp[\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)], \quad h_\mu(x) = t^2\mu'(t)$$

Consider then the function $\bar{\mu} : x \mapsto \mu(x) + \ln(x)$. $\bar{\mu}$ belongs to the Hardy field \mathfrak{N} and we have

$$\sigma(\bar{\mu}) = \frac{\sigma(\mu)}{\sigma(\mu) + 1} \in]0, +\infty[$$

It follows that the function $h_{\bar{\mu}}$ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$x = \exp[\bar{\mu}(t) + t\bar{\mu}'(t)], \quad h_{\bar{\mu}}(x) = t^2\bar{\mu}'(t)$$

that is by the system

$$x = et \exp[\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)], \quad h_{\bar{\mu}}(x) = t + t^2\mu'(t)$$

But we know that $h_{\bar{\mu}} = \omega_\mu$, thence the function ω_μ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$x = et \exp[\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)], \quad \omega_\mu(x) = t + t^2\mu'(t)$$

the parameter t being ultimately uniquely determined by x .

On the other hand since

$$\begin{aligned} t^2\mu'(t) &\underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}t \\ \exp[\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)] &\underset{t \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} e^{\frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}} e^{\mu(t)} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} h_\mu(x) = +\infty$$

Consequently h_μ is ultimately positive and infinite. Thence according to theorem 1 above the function h_μ has an inverse $h_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}$ which belongs to a Hardy field.

2. It follows from the system defining the function ω_μ is ultimately of class C^1 . Direct computations from the system (2.3) prove then that the function ω'_μ has ultimately the following parametrical representation

$$(2.13) \quad x = et \exp [\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)], \quad \omega'_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{e \exp [\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)]}$$

It follows that the function ω'_μ is ultimately strictly decreasing. Then that the function ω_μ is ultimately strictly concave.

3. Direct computations from the system (2.3) lead to the system representing ultimately the function φ_μ .

4. It is clear that the function $F_1 : t \rightarrow e^{\mu(t)+t\mu'(t)}$ which belongs as a germ at $+\infty$ to the Hardy field \aleph , is ultimately strictly increasing and satisfies $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F_1(t) = +\infty$. Thence, according to theorem 1, the function F_1 has an inverse g belonging to a Hardy field \aleph_1 which contains the identity.

The function h_μ is ultimately of class C^1 and according to (2.6) we have ultimately

$$\begin{aligned} h'_\mu(x) &= \frac{\frac{d(t^\epsilon \mu'(t))}{dt}(g(x))}{\frac{d(e^{\mu(t)+t\mu'(t)})}{dt}(g(x))} \\ &= \frac{g(x)}{e^{\mu(g(x))+g(x)\mu'(t(x))}} = \frac{g(x)}{x} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have ultimately

$$xh'_\mu(x) = g(x)$$

It follows, according to theorem 2 above, that $\aleph_1[h_\mu]$ is an integral domain whose fraction field $\aleph_1(h_\mu)$ is a Hardy field which contains the function h_μ as a germ at $+\infty$. By a similar proof we obtain that $h_{\bar{\mu}}$ belongs to a Hardy field. Since $\omega_\mu = h_{\bar{\mu}}$ it follows then that the function ω_μ belongs as a germ at $+\infty$ to a Hardy field.

It is obvious that the function φ_μ belongs to the same Hardy field \aleph as ω_μ . Furthermore direct computations, based on the system representing φ_μ on some neighborhood of $+\infty$, show that φ_μ is infinite and ultimately positive. It follows then that φ_μ is ultimately strictly increasing. Thence φ_μ is a diffeomorphism between neighborhoods of $+\infty$ whose inverse \mathcal{N}_μ belongs to a Hardy field. It is clear that the function \mathcal{N}_μ is ultimately well defined by the system

$$x = t^2 \mu'(t), \quad \mathcal{N}_\mu(x) = et \exp [\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)]$$

the parameter t being ultimately uniquely determined by x .

Direct computations from the systems (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) prove that we have ultimately

$$\gamma_\mu(h_\mu(x)) = \ln(x)$$

that is

$$\gamma_\mu(x) = \ln(h_\mu^{\langle -1 \rangle}(x))$$

It follows, according to theorem 3 above, that there exists a Hardy field containing the function γ_μ . It follows also from the relation (2.10) that γ_μ is ultimately positive and infinite.

Direct computations from the systems (2.2), (2.3), (2.5) prove also that the relation (2.9) holds ultimately.

5. The function ω_μ belongs to a Hardy field and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x\omega'_\mu(x)}{\omega_\mu(x)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t_1(x)}{t_1(x) + t_1(x)^2\mu'(t_1(x))} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{1 + t_1(x)\mu'(t_1(x))} \\ &= \frac{\sigma(\mu)}{1 + \sigma(\mu)} \end{aligned}$$

Thence the function ω_μ is regularly varying with index

$$\mathfrak{J}(\omega_\mu) = \frac{\sigma(\mu)}{1 + \sigma(\mu)}$$

6. The function γ_μ belongs as a germ at $+\infty$ to a Hardy field and we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x\gamma'_\mu(x)}{\gamma_\mu(x)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{t\mu'(t)}{\mu(t) + t\mu'(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{t\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}}{1 + \frac{t\mu'(t)}{\mu(t)}} = 0$$

Thence γ_μ is slowly varying.

7. Since γ_μ is slowly varying it follows, according to theorem 4 above, that we have ultimately

$$0 \leq \gamma_\mu(x) \leq \sqrt{x}$$

It follows that

$$\gamma_\mu(x) = o(x) \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty)$$

On the other hand, according to (2.2), we have ultimately

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\mu(x) - \mu(x) &= \mu(t_0(x)) + t_0(x)\mu'(t_0(x)) - \mu(x) \\ &= \frac{(t_0(x) - x)}{v}v\mu'(v) + t_0(x)\mu'(t_0(x)) \end{aligned}$$

where v lies between x and $t_0(x)$. Since

$$x = t_0(x)^2\mu'(t_0(x)) \underset{x \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} \frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}t_0(x)$$

it follows that

$$\frac{t_0(x) - x}{v} = O(1) \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty)$$

Consequently we have

$$\gamma_\mu(x) - \mu(x) = O(1) \quad (x \rightarrow +\infty)$$

8. We have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha\varphi_\mu(x)}}{\varphi'_\mu(x)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e[1 + 2t\mu'(t) + t^2\mu''(t)] \exp[\mu(t) + t\mu'(t) - \alpha t^2\mu'(t)]}{2t\mu'(t) + t^2\mu''(t)}$$

Thence we have by virtue of L'Hopital's rule that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} -t^2\mu''(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} t\mu'(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mu(t)}{\ln t} = \frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}$$

Consequently the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{e[1 + 2t\mu'(t) + t^2\mu''(t)] \exp[\mu(t) + t\mu'(t) - \alpha t^2\mu'(t)]}{2t\mu'(t) + t^2\mu''(t)} \\ & \sim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e(1 + \sigma(\mu))e^{\frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}} \exp[\mu(t) - \alpha t^2\mu'(t)] \end{aligned}$$

But $\mathfrak{J}(\mu) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{J}(t \mapsto \alpha t^2\mu'(t)) = 1$, hence we have, according to theorem 4 above, that

$$\mu(t) = o_{t \rightarrow +\infty}(\alpha t^2\mu'(t))$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e(1 + \sigma(\mu))e^{\frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)}} \exp[\mu(t) - \alpha t^2\mu'(t)] = 0$$

Consequently we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha\varphi_\mu(x)}}{\varphi'_\mu(x)} = 0$$

On the other hand, according to the mean value theorem, we have for all $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(\alpha x) - \mu(x)| &= |\alpha - 1| |x\mu'(u)| \\ &= |\alpha - 1| \frac{x}{u} |u\mu'(u)| \end{aligned}$$

where u lies between αx and x . It follows that

$$|\mu(\alpha x) - \mu(x)| \leq |\alpha - 1| \max\left(\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) |u\mu'(u)|$$

Since $\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} t\mu'(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma(\mu)} < +\infty$, it follows then that

$$\mu(\alpha x) - \mu(x) = o_{x \rightarrow +\infty}(1)$$

□

PROPOSITION 6.

Let I be a nontrivial compact interval of \mathbb{R} and $a \in I$. The so-called Borel mapping

$$\mathcal{T}: \begin{array}{ccc} C_{M_\mu}(I) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{M_\mu} \\ f & \longmapsto & (f^{(n)}(a))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \end{array}$$

is surjective.

Proof

Following J. Petzsche ([17], page 300) we set

$$m_p^* := \frac{M_p}{pM_{p-1}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

We have then for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_{2p}^*}{m_p^*} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{M_{2p}}{M_{2p-1}}}{\frac{M_p}{M_{p-1}}} \\ &= \frac{2^{2p} p^{2p}}{2(2p-1)^{2p-1}} \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p} \cdot \exp[2p\mu(2p) - (2p-1)\mu(2p-1) - p\mu(p) - (p-1)\mu(p-1)] \\ &= \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{p})^{p-1}}{(1 - \frac{1}{2p})^{2p-1}} \exp[2p\mu(2p) - (2p-1)\mu(2p-1) - p\mu(p) - (p-1)\mu(p-1)] \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2p\mu(2p) - (2p-1)\mu(2p-1) &= z_{2p}\mu'(z_{2p}) + \mu(z_{2p}) \\ p\mu(p) - (p-1)\mu(p-1) &= z_p\mu'(z_p) + \mu(z_p) \end{aligned}$$

where $z_{2p} \in [2p-1, 2p]$ and $z_p \in [p-1, p]$. It follows that there exists $w_p \in [p-1, 2p]$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &2p\mu(2p) - (2p-1)\mu(2p-1) - [p\mu(p) - (p-1)\mu(p-1)] \\ &= z_{2p}\mu'(z_{2p}) + \mu(z_{2p}) - (z_p\mu'(z_p) + \mu(z_p)) \\ &= (z_{2p} - z_p)(w_p\mu''(w_p) + 2\mu'(w_p)) \\ &= (z_{2p} - z_p)\mu'(w_p) \left[\frac{w_p\mu''(w_p) + \mu'(w_p)}{\mu'(w_p)} + 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x\mu''(x) + \mu'(x)}{\mu'(x)}$ exists and we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x\mu'(x)}{\mu(x)} = 0$$

It follows then from the L'Hopital's rule that we have

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x\mu''(x) + \mu'(x)}{\mu'(x)} = 0$$

Furthermore we have

$$z_{2p} - z_p \geq \frac{1}{2}w_p - 1$$

Thence we have for large values of p

$$(2.15) \quad (z_{2p} - z_p)\mu'(w_p) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{w_p - 2}{w_p} \right] w_p\mu'(w_p)$$

We conclude from (2.14) and (2.15) that we have

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} [2p\mu(2p) - (2p-1)\mu(2p-1)] - [p\mu(p) - (p-1)\mu(p-1)] \geq \frac{1}{2\sigma(\mu)}$$

It follows that we have

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{m_{2p}^*}{m_p^*} \geq e^{\frac{1}{2\sigma(\mu)}} > 1$$

Thence a slight refinement of a theorem in ([17], pages 300 and 311) yields that the Borel mapping \mathcal{T} is surjective. \square

Direct computations show that the functions μ and γ_μ can be extended to \mathbb{R}_+^* in a way to be functions of class C^1 on \mathbb{R}_+^* such that

$$-\varepsilon \leq \mu(x) - \gamma_\mu(x) \leq \varepsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$$

where ε is a positive constant. From now on we will do so and we will set for every $A > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every nonempty subset E of \mathbb{C}

$$E_{\mu,A,n} := E_{Ae^{-\mu(n)}}, \quad E_{\gamma_\mu,A,n} := E_{Ae^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}$$

Thence the following inclusions hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$E_{\gamma_\mu, Ae^{-\varepsilon}, n} \subset E_{\mu, A, n} \subset E_{\gamma_\mu, Ae^\varepsilon, n}$$

3. Statement of the main result

The main result of this paper is the following.

THEOREM 7.

1. Let $f \in C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$, then there exists constants $C > 0$, $A > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$ and a sequence $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of rational functions defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{i, -i\}$ such that $\sum P_n$ is uniformly convergent on $[-1, 1]$ to f and

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n}} &\leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\ f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \end{aligned}$$

2. Conversely, let us assume that there exist some constants $C > 0$, $A > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$ and a sequence $f_n \in \mathcal{O}([-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n})$ of holomorphic functions such that

$$\|f_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n}} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Then the function series $\sum f_n$ is uniformly convergent on $[-1, 1]$ to a function f which belongs to the Carleman class $C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$.

4. Proof of the main result

4.1. Proof of the direct part of the main result.

PROPOSITION 8.

Let $g : [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a restriction of a 2π -periodic function of class C^∞ on \mathbb{R} . Let us assume that $g \in C_{M_\mu}([-\pi, \pi])$, then there exist constants $A > 0, C > 0, 0 < \rho < 1$ and a sequence $(g_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of rational functions defined on \mathbb{C}^* such that

$$\|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, A, n}} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(e^{i\theta}), \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, A, n} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 - Ae^{-\gamma_\mu(n)} < |z| < 1 + Ae^{-\gamma_\mu(n)} \right\}$$

Proof

The Fourier series expansion of g can be written for all $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$ as

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} a_p e^{ip\theta}$$

where

$$a_p = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) e^{-ip\theta} d\theta, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

According to ([16]), the following estimations hold

$$(4.1) \quad |a_p| \leq C_0 e^{-C_1 \omega_\mu(|p|)}, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with some constants $C_0, C_1 > 0$.

Let us set for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$g_0(z) : = \sum_{|p| < \mathcal{N}_\mu(1)} a_p z^p$$

$$g_n(z) : = \sum_{\mathcal{N}_\mu(n) \leq |p| < \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)} a_p z^p$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, g_n is a rational function defined on \mathbb{C}^* . Furthermore the following estimates hold

$$(4.2) \quad |g_n(z)| \leq C_0 \sum_{\mathcal{N}_\mu(n) \leq |p| < \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)} C_0 e^{-C_1 \omega_\mu(p)} (|z|^p + |z|^{-p}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

If $z \in \mathcal{K}_{\frac{C_1}{2e}, n}$, then the estimates become

$$|g_n(z)|$$

$$\leq C_0 \sum_{\mathcal{N}_\mu(n) \leq |p| < \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)} e^{-C_1 \omega_\mu(p)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{C_1}{2e} e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)} \right)^p + \left(1 - \frac{C_1}{2e} e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)} \right)^{-p} \right]$$

We have for large values of n

$$\left(1 - \frac{C_1}{2e} e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}\right)^{-1} \leq 1 + \frac{C_1}{e} e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}$$

It follows that we have for such values of n

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, \frac{C_1}{2e}, n}} \\ & \leq C_0(1 + \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n)) \max_{\mathcal{N}_\mu(n) \leq p < \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)} 2 \exp \left[-C_1 \omega_\mu(p) + C_1 p \frac{e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}{e} \right] \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have for n sufficiently large

$$\frac{e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}{e} = \omega'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n))$$

Consequently we have for such values of n

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, \frac{C_1}{2e}, n}} \\ & \leq C_0(1 + \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n)) \max_{\mathcal{N}_\mu(n) \leq p < \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)} 2 \exp \left[-C_1 (\omega_\mu(p) - \omega'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n))p) \right] \end{aligned}$$

But by virtue of proposition 5, ω_μ is ultimately strictly concave. It follows that the function

$$\begin{aligned} h_n : \mathbb{R}_+^* & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x & \longmapsto -C_1 [\omega(x) - \omega'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n))x] \end{aligned}$$

is ultimately strictly concave, thence we have for large values of n that for all $x \in [\mathcal{N}_\mu(n), \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)]$ we have

$$h'_n(x) = -C_1 [\omega'(x) - \omega'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n))] < 0$$

Thence the function h_n is for large values of n , strictly decreasing on the interval $[\mathcal{N}_\mu(n), \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1)]$. It follows that the following estimates hold for large values of n

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, \frac{C_1}{2e}, n}} \\ & \leq C_0 [1 + \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n)] \exp [-C_1 (\omega(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n)) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n) \omega'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n)))] \\ & \leq C_0 [1 + \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n)] \exp [-C_1 \varphi_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n))] \\ & \leq C_0 [1 + \mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n)] e^{-C_1 n} \\ & \leq C_0 [e^{\frac{C_1}{2} (\mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1) - \mathcal{N}_\mu(n))} + 1] e^{-\frac{C_1}{2} n} \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{N}_μ is ultimately strictly convex, we can write for large values of n

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, \frac{C_1}{2e}, n}} & \leq C_0 [e^{\frac{C_1}{2} \mathcal{N}'_\mu(n+1)} + 1] e^{-\frac{C_1}{2} n} \\ & \leq C_0 \left[e^{\frac{C_1}{2} \frac{e^{-\frac{C_1}{2} \varphi_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1))}}{\varphi'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1))}} + 1 \right] e^{-\frac{C_1}{2} n} \end{aligned}$$

According to (2.12) we have

$$C_0 \left[e^{\frac{c_1}{2}} \frac{e^{-\frac{c_1}{2} \varphi_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1))}}{\varphi'_\mu(\mathcal{N}_\mu(n+1))} + 1 \right] e^{-\frac{c_1}{2}n} \underset{n \rightarrow +\infty}{\sim} C_0 e^{-\frac{c_1}{2}n}$$

Thence we have

$$\|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, \frac{c_1}{2e}, n}} \leq C_2 e^{-\frac{c_1}{2}n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $C_2 > 0$ is a constant.

We have then achieved the proof of the proposition. \square

PROPOSITION 9.

Let $f \in C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$, then there exists a function $F \in C_{M_\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ with support contained in the interval $[-2, 2]$ and whose restriction to $[-1, 1]$ is the function f .

Proof

According to proposition 6, there exist $F_1 \in C_{M_\mu}([-3, -1])$ and $F_2 \in C_{M_\mu}([1, 3])$ such that

$$F_1^{(n)}(-1) = f^{(n)}(-1), \quad F_2^{(n)}(1) = f^{(n)}(1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

On the other hand, according to ([22]), there exists $\Phi \in C_{M_\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ with support contained in $[-2, 2]$ such that

$$\Phi(x) = 1, \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

The function F defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= f(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \\ F(x) &= F_1(x)\Phi(x), \quad x \in [-3, -1] \\ F(x) &= F_2(x)\Phi(x), \quad x \in [1, 3] \\ F(x) &= 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-3, 3] \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the required conditions. \square

Let $f \in C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$. There exists, according to proposition 8, a function $F \in C_{M_\mu}(\mathbb{R})$ whose support is contained in the interval $[-2, 2]$ and whose restriction to $[-1, 1]$ is the function f .

Let us consider the function g defined on the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ by

$$\begin{aligned} g(\theta) &= F\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right), \quad \theta \in]-2 \arctan(2), 2 \arctan(2)[\\ g(\theta) &= 0, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus]-2 \arctan(2), 2 \arctan(2)[\end{aligned}$$

According to a theorem due to H.Cartan ([11], theorem III, pages 24 – 27), the restriction of g to the interval $J :=]-2 \arctan(2), 2 \arctan(2)[$ belongs to the Carleman class $C_{M_\mu}(J)$. But g is itself the restriction to $[-\pi, \pi]$ of a 2π -periodic, of class \mathcal{C}^∞ which is vanishing on the set $[-\pi, \pi] \setminus J$. Thence $g \in C_{M_\mu}([-\pi, \pi])$.

According to proposition 8, there exists constants $0 < A < 1, C > 0, 0 < \rho < 1$ and a sequence $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of rational functions defined on \mathbb{C}^* such that

$$\|g_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{K}_{\gamma_\mu, A, n}} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$g(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(e^{i\theta}), \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$$

Let $x \in [-2, 2]$. There exists a unique $\theta \in [-2 \arctan(2), 2 \arctan(2)]$ such that $x = \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$, thence we have

$$F(x) = g(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} g_n\left(\frac{i-x}{i+x}\right).$$

On the other hand let be $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| < 1$ (then $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{i, -i\}$). Let us set $\zeta = \frac{i-z}{i+z}$, then we have on a $|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \geq \frac{|1-|\zeta||}{1+|\zeta|}$. It follows for every $A' \in]0, 1[$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that we have

$$|\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)} \implies \frac{1 - A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}{1 + A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}} \leq |\zeta| \leq \frac{1 + A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}{1 - A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}$$

If we choose $A' \in]0, 1[$ sufficiently small, we will obtain for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following inequalities

$$0 < 1 - A e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)} < \frac{1 - A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}{1 + A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}} \leq \frac{1 + A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}}{1 - A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}} < 1 + A e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}$$

Let us set

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < 2A' e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}\}$$

The points i et $-i$ belong to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{B}_n$. Furthermore we have

$$\frac{i-z}{i+z} \in \mathcal{K}_n, \quad z \in \mathcal{B}_n$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function P_n defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{i, -i\}$ by $P_n(z) = g_n(\frac{i-z}{i+z})$ is a rational functions satisfying the estimate

$$\|P_n\|_{\infty, \mathcal{B}_n} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We have also for all $x \in [-2, 2]$

$$F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x)$$

But $[-1, 1]_{\gamma_\mu, A', n} \subset \mathcal{B}_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, thence we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1]$$

$$\|P_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\gamma_\mu, A', n}} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

It follows then that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x), \quad x \in [-1, 1] \\ \|P_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\mu, Ae^{-\varepsilon'}, n}} &\leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. The proof of the converse part of the main result. Let $A > 0$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a function $f_n : [-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic on $[-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &\in \mathcal{O}([-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ \|f_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n}} &\leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^* \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|f_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\gamma_{\mu}, Ae^{-\varepsilon}, n}} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

Thence the function series $\sum f_n|_{[-1, 1]}$ converges uniformly on $[-1, 1]$ to a continuous function f .

We have $[-1, 1] \subset [-1, 1]_{\gamma_{\mu}, \frac{A}{2}e^{-\varepsilon}, n} \subset [-1, 1]_{\gamma_{\mu}, Ae^{-\varepsilon}, n}$. The Cauchy's inequalities allow us to write for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(4.3) \quad \|f_n^{(p)}\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]} \leq Cp! \left(\frac{2}{A}e^{\varepsilon}\right)^p \exp \left[p\gamma_{\mu}(n) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)n \right] \sqrt{\rho}^n$$

On the other hand the supremum, for sufficiently large $p \in \mathbb{N}$, of the function $u \mapsto p\gamma_{\mu}(u) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)u$ on $[0, +\infty[$ is reached in the real $u_p > 0$ which satisfies the relation

$$\gamma'_{\mu}(u_p) = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)}{p}$$

Since we have for sufficiently large $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\gamma'_{\mu}(u_p) = \frac{1}{t_0(u_p)}$$

it follows then that

$$t_0(u_p) = \frac{p}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)}$$

Consequently we can write

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [p\gamma_{\mu}(n) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)n] &\leq p(\gamma_{\mu}(u_p) - u_p\gamma'_{\mu}(u_p)) \\ &\leq p\mu(t_0(u_p)) \\ &\leq p\mu\left(\frac{p}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thence we have for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|f_n^{(p)}\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]} \leq Cp! \left(\frac{2}{A} e^\varepsilon\right)^p \sqrt{\rho}^n e^{p\mu\left(\frac{p}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)}\right)}$$

It follows that the function series $\sum f_n^{(p)}$ are for sufficiently large values of p normally convergent. Thence the function f is of class C^∞ on $[-1, 1]$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^{(p)}\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]} &\leq \frac{2C}{A(1-\sqrt{\rho})} \left(\frac{2}{A}\right)^p p! \exp\left[p\left(\mu\left(\frac{p}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)}\right) - \mu(p)\right)\right] e^{p\mu(p)} \\ &\leq B^{p+1} p^p e^{p\mu(p)} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $B > 0$.

Thence we have

$$f \in C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$$

□

5. Application : Alternative construction of the E. M. Dyn'kin's pseudoanalytic extension for the Carleman class $C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$

COROLLARY 10.

Let be $f \in C_{M_\mu}([-1, 1])$. There exists a function $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ with compact support such that

$$\begin{aligned} F|_{[-1, 1]} &= f \\ |\bar{\partial}F(z)| &\leq C_1 H_\mu \left[\frac{C_2}{\varrho(z, [-1, 1])} \right], \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1] \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants.

Proof

According to the main result there exist constants $A \in]0, 1[, C > 0, \rho \in]0, 1[$ and a sequence of rational functions $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined on some strip $B := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}(z)| \leq A\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\mu, A, n}} &\leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} f_n|_{[-1, 1]} &= f \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|f_n\|_{\infty, [-1, 1]_{\gamma_\mu, Ae^{-\varepsilon}, n}} \leq C\rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*$$

On the other hand there exists, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, a function $\theta_n : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ of class C^∞ on \mathbb{C} (\mathbb{C} is here identified with \mathbb{R}^2) and a family of positive constants

$(L_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ ([22]) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_n(z) &= 1, z \in [-1, 1]_{\mu, \frac{A}{8}, n} \\ \theta_n(z) &= 0, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]_{\mu, \frac{A}{2}, n} \\ |D^\alpha \theta_n(z)| &\leq L_\alpha e^{|\alpha| \mu(n)}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2, z \in \mathbb{R}^2\end{aligned}$$

where $|\alpha| := p + q$ and $D^\alpha := \frac{\partial^{p+q}}{\partial x^p \partial y^q}$ for $\alpha = (p, q)$.

We denote by F_n the function defined by

$$\begin{aligned}F_n(z) &= \theta_n(z) f_n(z), z \in [-1, 1]_{\gamma_\mu, A, n} \\ F_n(z) &= 0, z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]_{\gamma_\mu, A, n}\end{aligned}$$

The function F_n is of class C^∞ on \mathbb{C} and satisfies the condition

$$F_n|_{[-1, 1]_{\mu, \frac{A}{8}, n}} = f_n|_{[-1, 1]_{\mu, \frac{A}{8}, n}}$$

Since

$$\|F_n\|_{\infty, \mathbb{C}} \leq C \rho^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

it follows that the function series $\sum F_n$ is uniformly convergent on \mathbb{C} to a continuous function F with compact support contained in $[-1, 1]_A$. Furthermore it is clear that F is an extension to \mathbb{C} of f .

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. If $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]_{\mu, \frac{A}{2}, n}$ then we have $D^\alpha F_n(z) = 0$. But when $z \in [-1, 1]_{\mu, \frac{A}{8}, n}$ we can write, in view of Cauchy's inequalities and the inequality (4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}|D^\alpha F_n(z)| &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} |D^\beta \theta_n(z)| |D^{\alpha-\beta} f_n(z)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} L_\beta e^{|\beta| \mu(n)} |D^{\alpha-\beta} f_n(z)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} L_\beta e^{|\beta| \varepsilon} e^{|\beta| \gamma_\mu(n)} |f_n^{(|\alpha|-|\beta|)}(z)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} L_\beta e^{|\beta| \varepsilon} e^{|\beta| \gamma_\mu(n)} C \left(\frac{4}{A}\right)^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} \\ &\quad \cdot (|\alpha| - |\beta|)! \sqrt{\rho}^n \exp \left[(|\alpha| - |\beta|) \gamma_\mu(n) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right) n \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} L_\beta e^{|\beta| \varepsilon} e^{|\beta| \gamma_\mu(n)} C \left(\frac{4}{A}\right)^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} \\ &\quad \cdot (|\alpha| - |\beta|)! \sqrt{\rho}^n \exp \left[\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left((|\alpha| - |\beta|) \gamma_\mu(m) - \ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right) m \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sqrt{\rho}^n \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} C \binom{\alpha}{\beta} e^{|\beta| \varepsilon} L_\beta (|\alpha| - |\beta|)! \left(\frac{4}{A}\right)^{|\alpha|-|\beta|} \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \left[(|\alpha| - |\beta|) \mu \left(\frac{(|\alpha| - |\beta|)}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\right)} \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the function series $\sum D^\alpha F_n(z)$ is for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ normally convergent on \mathbb{C} . Thence the function $F = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} F_n$ is of class C^∞ on \mathbb{C} .

Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$. Then we have

$$\bar{\partial}F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \bar{\partial}F_n(z)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\bar{\partial}F_n(z) = 0 \text{ if } \varrho(z, [-1, 1]) \in [0, \frac{A}{8}e^{-\varepsilon}e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}[\cup] Ae^{-\varepsilon}e^{-\gamma_\mu(n)}, +\infty[$$

If $\varrho(z, [-1, 1]) \in [\frac{A}{8}e^{-\mu(n)}, Ae^{-\mu(n)}[$ then, again by virtue of (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\partial}F_n(z)| &= |f_n(z)| |\bar{\partial}\theta_n(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} \rho^n (|\frac{\partial\theta_n}{\partial x}(z)| + |\frac{\partial\theta_n}{\partial y}(z)|) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} (L_{(1,0)} + L_{(0,1)}) e^\varepsilon e^{\gamma_\mu(n) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(\frac{1}{\rho})n} \sqrt{\rho}^n \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2} (L_{(1,0)} + L_{(0,1)}) e^\varepsilon e^{\mu(\frac{2}{\ln(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}})})} \sqrt{\rho}^n \end{aligned}$$

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &: = \frac{C}{2} (L_{(1,0)} + L_{(0,1)}) e^\varepsilon e^{\mu(\frac{2}{\ln(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}})})} \\ \lambda &: = -\ln \sqrt{\rho} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thence the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\partial}F(z)| &\leq \sum_{\frac{A}{8}e^{-\mu(n)} \leq \varrho(z, [-1, 1]) \leq Ae^{-\mu(n)}} A_1 e^{-\lambda n} \\ &\leq A_1 \sum_{\frac{A}{8\varrho(z, [-1, 1])} \leq e^{\mu(n)}} e^{-\lambda n} \\ &\leq A_1 \sum_{\frac{A}{8e^\varepsilon \varrho(z, [-1, 1])} \leq e^{\gamma_\mu(n)}} e^{-\lambda n} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if z is sufficiently close to $[-1, 1]$ then the last estimate will become

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\partial}F(z)| &\leq A_1 \sum_{h_\mu(\frac{A}{8e^\varepsilon \varrho(z, [-1, 1])}) \leq n} e^{-\lambda n} \\ &\leq \frac{A_1}{1 - e^{-\lambda}} \exp \left[-\lambda h_\mu \left(\frac{A}{8e^\varepsilon \varrho(z, [-1, 1])} \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

But we know that the function h_μ is regularly varying. Thence there exists a constant $A_2 > 0$ such that we have ultimately

$$\lambda h_\mu \left(\frac{A}{8e^\varepsilon} x \right) \geq h_\mu(A_2 x)$$

Consequently we have for z sufficiently close to $[-1, 1]$

$$|\bar{\partial}F(z)| \leq \frac{A_1}{1 - e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \exp \left[-h_\mu \left(\frac{A_2}{\varrho(z, [-1, 1])} \right) \right]$$

Thence there exists a constant $A_3 > 0$ such that

$$|\bar{\partial}F(z)| \leq A_3 H_\mu \left(\frac{A_2}{\varrho(z, [-1, 1])} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$$

The proof of the corollary is complete. \square

5.1. Acknowledgment. I would like to express my profound gratitude to Professor Jean Pierre Demailly, to Professor Alexander Borichev and to Professor Konstantin Mikhailovich Dyakonov for their valuable helps without which this work would not have been possible.

References

1. M. Aschenbrenner and L. van den Dries, *Asymptotic differential Algebra*, In O. Costin, M. D. Kruskal, A. Macintyre (eds.), *Analyzable Functions and Applications*, Contemp. Math. 373, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (2005), 49-85.
2. G.V. Badalyan, *Criteria for expanding functions in quasi-power series and quasianalytic classes of functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser.Mat. 1962, Volume 26, Issue 6, 839-864.
3. G.V. Badalyan, *On classification and representation of infinitely differentiable functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser.Mat. 1970, Volume 34, Issue 3, 584-620.
4. T. Belghiti, *Holomorphic series expansion of functions of Carleman type*, Ann. Polon. Math 84 (2004), 219-224.
5. E. Bendib and H. Zoubeir, *Développements en séries de fonctions holomorphes des fonctions d'une classe de Gevrey sur $[-1, 1]$* , Publications de L'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle série, tome 98(112) (2015), 287-293.
6. N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications*, v.27, Cambridge University Press 1987.
7. N. Bourbaki, *Fonctions d'une Variable Réelle, Chapitre V, Appendice Corps de Hardy. Fonctions (H)*, Hermann, Paris (1976).
8. T. Carleman, *Les fonctions quasi-analytiques*, Gauthier-Villars, 1926.
9. E. M. Dyn'kin, *Pseudo-analytic extension, uniform scale*, Amer. Mat. Soc. Trans. 115 (1980), 33-48.
10. J. Ecalle, *Introduction aux fonctions analysables et preuve constructive de la conjecture de Dulac*, Actualités Mathématiques, Hermann. Paris, 1992.
11. H. Cartan, *Sur les classes de fonctions définies par des inégalités sur leurs dérivées successives*, Hermann, Paris, 1940. Actualités Scientifiques et industrielles 867.
12. S. Jankovic and T. Ostrogorski, *The property of good decomposition in Hardy field*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 35(10) (2004), 1179-1183.
13. G. Julia, *Les séries d'itération et les fonctions quasi-analytiques*, C. R. 180, 1925, p. 720.
14. G. Julia, *Sur un type de fonctions quasi-analytiques*, C. R. 180, 1925, p. 1150.
15. G. Julia, *Fonctions quasi-analytiques et fonctions entières d'ordre nul*, C. R. 180, 1925, p. 1240.
16. S. Mandelbrojt, *Infinitely differentiable functions, the Rice Institute Pamphlet*, Vol XXIX, 1942, Selecta, Gauthier-villars, 1981.
17. Petzsche, H. J., (1988). *On E. Borel's theorem*. Mathematische Annalen, 282(2), 299-313.
18. M. Rosenlicht, (1983), *The Rank of a Hardy Field*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 280 (2): 659-671.
19. B. Salvy, J. Shackell, *Asymptotic expansions of functional inverses*, in: Wang, P. (ed.), *Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*. Proceedings of ISSAC 92, ACM Press, New York (1992).

20. M. Singer, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations and Hardy fields: preliminary report*, unpublished.
21. J. C. Tougeron, *Idéaux de fonctions différentiables*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1972.
22. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*. Second edition, New York, 1991.
hzoubeir2014@gmail.com

Ibn Tofail University
Departement of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Kenitra
Morocco