PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE
Nouvelle série, tome 10?(11?)) (201?), 1–8
Preliminary version; to be edited DOI: Not assigned yet

KOROVKIN TYPE THEOREM FOR FUNCTIONS OF TWO VARIABLES VIA LACUNARY EQUISTATISTICAL CONVERGENCE

M. Mursaleen

Abstract. Aktuğlu and Gezer [**1**] introduced the concepts of lacunary equistatistical convergence, lacunary statistical pointwise convergence and lacunary statistical uniform convergence for sequences of functions. Recently, Kaya and Gönül [**11**] proved some analogs of the Korovkin approximation theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence by using test functions 1, $\frac{x}{1+x}$, $\frac{y}{1+y}$, $(\frac{x}{1+x})^2+(\frac{y}{1+y})^2$. In this paper, we apply the notion of lacunary equistatistical convergence to prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables by using test functions 1, $\frac{x}{1-x}$, $\frac{y}{1-y}$, $(\frac{x}{1-x})^2+(\frac{y}{1-y})^2$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The following concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [**6**]. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $K_n = \{j : j \leqslant n \text{ and } j \in K\}$. Then the *natural density* of K is defined by

$$\delta(K) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|K_n|}{n}$$

if the limit exists, where $|K_n|$ denotes the *cardinality* of the set K_n.

A sequence $x = (x_j)$ of real numbers is said to be emphstatistically convergent to the number L if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set

$$\{j : j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad |x_j - L| \geqslant \epsilon\}$$

has natural density zero, that is, if, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n}\big|\{j : j \leqslant n \quad \text{and} \quad |x_j - L| \geqslant \epsilon\}\big| = 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 41A10, 41A25, 41A36; Secondary 40A30, 40G15.

Key words and phrases: statistical convergence, lacunary equistatistical convergence, positive linear operator, Korovkin type approximation theorem.

The present research was supported by the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, under grant No. SR/S4/MS:792/12.

Communicated by Gradimir Milovanović.

By a lacunary sequence we means an increasing integer sequence $\theta = \{k_r\}$ such that $k_0 = 0$ and $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Throughout this paper the intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$, and the ratio k_r/k_{r-1} will be abbreviated by q_r.

Fridy and Orhan [**7**] defined the notion of lacunary statistical convergence as follows:

Let θ be a lacunary sequence; the number sequence x is S_θ-convergent to L provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$),

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} |\{k \in I_r : |x_k - L| \geqslant \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write S_θ-limit $x = L$ or $x_k \to L(S_\theta)$.

The concept of equistatistical convergence was introduced by Balcerzak et al. [**2**] and was subsequently applied for deriving approximation theorems in [**1**, **8**–**10**, **19**]. In [**1**], Aktuglu and Gezer [**1**] generalized the idea of statistical convergence to lacunary equistatistical convergence. Recently, Kaya and Gönül [**11**] established some analogs of the Korovkin approximation theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence. Korovkin type approximation theorems for various kinds of statistical convergence are studied in [**3**–**5**, **14**–**18**]. In this paper, we prove such type of theorem via lacunary equistatistical convergence by using the test functions 1, $\frac{x}{1-x}$ and $(\frac{x}{1-x})^2$.

Let $C[a, b]$ be the linear space of all real-valued continuous functions f on $[a, b]$. We know that $C[a, b]$ is a Banach space with the norm given by

$$\|f\|_{C[a,b]} := \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)| \qquad (f \in C[a, b]).$$

Let f and f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ be real-valued functions defined on a subset X of the set $\mathbb{N}$ of positive integers.

Definition 1.1. A sequence (f_k) of real-valued functions is said to be *lacunary equi-statistically convergent* to f on X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the sequence $(S_r(\epsilon, x))_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real-valued functions converges uniformly to the zero function on X, that is, if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \|S_r(\epsilon, x)\|_{C(X)} = 0,$$

where

$$S_r(\epsilon, x) := \frac{1}{h_r} \big|\{k : k \in I_r \quad \text{and} \quad |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geqslant \epsilon\}\big|$$

and $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denotes the space of all continuous functions on X. In this case, we write

$$f_k \rightsquigarrow f \ \ (\theta\text{-equistat}).$$

Definition 1.2. A sequence (f_k) is said to be *lacunary statistically pointwise convergent* to f on X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for each $x \in X$, we have

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r} \big|\{k : k \in I_r \quad \text{and} \quad | f_k(x) - f(x) | \geqslant \epsilon\}\big| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$f_r \longrightarrow f \ \ (\theta\text{-stat}).$$

DEFINITION 1.3. A sequence (f_r) is said to be *lacunary statistically uniformly convergent* to f on X if (for every $\epsilon > 0$), we have

$$\lim_r \frac{1}{h_r}\big|\{k : k \in I_r \quad \text{and} \quad \|f_k - f\|_{C(X)} \geqslant \epsilon\}\big| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$f_r \rightrightarrows f \;\; (\theta\text{-stat}).$$

DEFINITION 1.4. (see [**10**]). A sequence (f_r) of real-valued functions is said to be *equistatistically convergent* to f on X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the sequence $(P_{n,\epsilon}(x))_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real-valued functions converges uniformly to the zero function on X, that is, if (for every $\epsilon > 0$) we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|P_{n,\epsilon}(x)\|_{C(X)} = 0,$$

where

$$P_{n,\epsilon}(x) = \frac{1}{n}\big|\{k : k \leqq n \quad \text{and} \quad |f_k(x) - f(x)| \geqslant \epsilon\}\big| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$f_k \rightsquigarrow f \;\; (\text{equistat}).$$

The following implications of the above definitions and concepts are trivial.

$$f_k \rightrightarrows f \;\; (\theta\text{-stat}) \Longrightarrow f_k \rightsquigarrow f \;\; (\theta\text{-equistat}) \Longrightarrow f_k \to f \;\; (\theta\text{-stat}).$$

Furthermore, in general, the reverse implications do not hold true.

2. Main Results

Let $I = [0, A]$, $J = [0, B]$, $A, B \in (0, 1)$ and $K = I \times J$. We denote by $C(K)$ the space of all continuous real valued functions on K. This space is a equipped with norm

$$\|f\|_{C(K)} := \sup_{(x,y)\in K} |f(x, y)|, \quad f \in C(K).$$

Let $H_\omega(K)$ denote the space of all real valued functions f on K such that

$$|f(s,t) - f(x,y)| \leqslant \omega\left(f; \sqrt{(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x})^2 + (\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y})^2}\right),$$

where ω is the modulus of continuity, i.e.

$$\omega(f;\delta) = \sup_{(s,t),(x,y)\in K} \left\{\big|f(s,t) - f(x,y)\big| : \sqrt{(s-x)^2 + (t-y)^2} \leqslant \delta\right\}.$$

It is to be noted that any function $f \in H_\omega(K)$ is continuous and bounded on K.

In [**1**], Aktuğlu and Gezer proved the Korovkin theorem for lacunary eqistatistiacal convergence by using the test functions $1, x$ and x^2; while Kaya and Gönül [**11**] used the test functions 1, $\frac{x}{1+x}$, $(\frac{y}{1+y})$, $(\frac{x}{1+x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1+y})^2$. Recently, Srivastava et al [**19**] defined and studied the λ-eqistatistiacal convergence of positive linear operators by using the notion of λ-statistical convergence [**15**]. In this paper, we apply the notion of lacunary equistatistical convergence to prove a Korovkin type approximation theorem for functions of two variables by using test functions 1, $\frac{x}{1-x}$, $(\frac{y}{1-y})$, $(\frac{x}{1-x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1-y})^2$.

Let T be a linear operator which maps $C[a,b]$ into itself. We say that T is *positive* if, for every non-negative $f \in C[a,b]$, we have

$$T(f,x) \geqq 0 \qquad (x \in [a,b]).$$

We prove the following result:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence, and let (L_r) be a sequence of positive linear operators from $H_\omega(K)$ into $C_B(K)$. Then for all $f \in H_\omega(K)$*

$$L_r(f;x,y) \rightsquigarrow f(x,y) \ (\theta\text{-equistat}) \tag{2.1}$$

if and only if

$$L_r(f;x,y) \rightsquigarrow g_i(x,y) \ (\theta\text{-equistat}) \ (i = 0,1,2,3), \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$g_0(x) = 1,\ g_1(x) = \frac{x}{1-x},\ g_2(x) = \frac{y}{1-y} \quad \text{and} \quad g_3(x) = (\frac{x}{1-x})^2 + (\frac{y}{1-y})^2.$$

PROOF. Since each of the functions f_i belongs to $H_\omega(K)$, conditions (2.2) follow immediately. Let $g \in H_\omega(K)$ and $(x,y) \in K$ be fixed. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that $|f(s,t) - f(x,y)| < \varepsilon$ holds for all $(s,t) \in K$ satisfying $\left|\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\right| < \delta_1$, $\left|\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\right| < \delta_2$. Let

$$K(\delta_1,\delta_2) := \Big\{(s,t) \in K : \Big|\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\Big| < \delta_1, \Big|\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\Big| < \delta_2\Big\}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f(s,t) - f(x,y)| &= |f(s,t) - f(x,y)|_{\chi_{K(\delta_1,\delta_2)}(s,t)} \\ &\quad + |f(s,t) - f(x,y)|_{\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta_1,\delta_2)}(s,t)} \\ &\leqslant \varepsilon + 2N_{\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta_1,\delta_2)}(s,t)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where χ_D denotes the characteristic function of the set D and $N = \|f\|_{C_B(K)}$ Further we get

$$\chi_{K \setminus K(\delta_1,\delta_2)}(s,t) \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta_1^2}\Big(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\Big)^2 + \frac{1}{\delta_2^2}\Big(\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\Big)^2. \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4) and choosing $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$, we get

$$|f(s,t) - f(x,y)| \leqslant \varepsilon + \frac{2N}{\delta^2}\Big\{\Big(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\Big)^2 + \Big(\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\Big)^2\Big\}.$$

After using the linearity and positivity of operators $\{L_r\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_r(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| &\leqslant \varepsilon + M\{|L_r(g_0;x,y) - g_0(x,y)| + |L_r(g_1;x,y) - g_1(x,y)| \\ &\quad + |L_r(g_2;x,y) - g_2(x,y)| + |L_r(g_3;x,y) - g_3(x,y)|\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\Big|L_r(f;x,y) - f(x,y)| \leqslant \epsilon + B\sum_{i=o}^{3} |L_r(g_i;x,y) - g_i(x,y)\Big|, \tag{2.5}$$

where $M := \varepsilon + N + \frac{4N}{\delta^2}$. Now for a given $\rho > 0$, choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon < \rho$. Then, for each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, set $\psi_\rho(x, y) := |\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |L_k(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \geqslant \rho\}|$ and $\psi_{i,\rho}(x, y) := |\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |L_k(g_i; x, y) - g_i(x, y)| \geqslant \frac{\rho-\epsilon}{4K}\}|$ for $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, it follows from (2.5) that $\psi_\rho(x, y) \subseteq \cup_{i=0}^{3} \psi_{i,\rho}(x, y)$. Hence

$$\frac{\|\psi_\rho(x, y)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} \leqslant \sum_{i=o}^{3} \Big(\frac{\|\psi_{i,\rho}(x, y)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} \Big). \tag{2.6}$$

Now using the hypothesis (2.2) and the Definition 1.1, the right hand side of (2.6) tends to zero as $r \to \infty$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\|\psi_\rho(x, y)\|_{C_B(K)}}{h_r} = 0 \text{ for every } \rho > 0,$$

i.e. (2.1) holds. □

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 2.1. Consider the following Meyer-König and Zeller [**13**] (of two variables) operators:

$$B_{m,n}(f; x, y) := (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\Big(\frac{j}{j+m+1}, \frac{k}{k+n+1}\Big) \binom{m+j}{j} \binom{n+k}{k} x^j y^k,$$

where $f \in H_\omega(K)$, and $K = [0, A] \times [0, B]$, $A, B \in (0, 1)$.

Since, for $x \in [0, A]$, $A \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{n+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{k} x^k,$$

it is easy to see that

$$B_{m,n}(g_0; x, y) = f_0(x, y).$$

Also, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{m,n}(g_1; x, y) &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{j}{m+1} \binom{m+j}{j} \binom{n+k}{k} x^j y^k \\ &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+1} \frac{(m+j)!}{m!(j-1)!} \binom{n+k}{k} x^{j-1} y^k \\ &= (1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1} x \frac{1}{(1-x)^{m+2}} \frac{1}{(1-y)^{n+1}} = \frac{x}{(1-x)}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$B_{m,n}(g_2; x, y) = \frac{y}{(1-y)}.$$

Finally, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&B_{m,n}(g_3;x,y)\\
=&(1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Big\{\Big(\frac{j}{m+1}\Big)^2+\Big(\frac{k}{n+1}\Big)^2\Big\}\binom{m+j}{j}\binom{n+k}{k}x^jy^k\\
=&(1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\frac{x}{m+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{j}{m+1}\frac{(m+j)!}{m!(j-1)!}\binom{n+k}{k}x^{j-1}y^k\\
&+(1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\frac{y}{n+1}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{k}{n+1}\binom{m+j}{j}\frac{(n+k)!}{n!(k-1)!}x^jy^{k-1}\\
=&(1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\frac{x}{m+1}\Big\{x\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(m+j+1)!}{(m+1)!(j-1)!}\binom{n+k}{k}x^{j-1}y^k\\
&+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{m+j+1}{j}\binom{n+k}{k}x^jy^k\Big\}\\
&+(1-x)^{m+1}(1-y)^{n+1}\frac{y}{n+1}\Big\{y\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(n+k+1)!}{(n+1)!(k-1)!}\binom{m+j}{j}x^jy^{k-1}\\
&+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{n+k+1}{k}\binom{m+j}{j}x^jy^k\Big\}\\
=&\frac{m+2}{m+1}\Big(\frac{x}{1-x}\Big)^2+\frac{1}{m+1}\frac{x}{1-x}+\frac{n+2}{n+1}\Big(\frac{y}{1-y}\Big)^2+\frac{1}{n+1}\frac{y}{1-y}\\
\to&\Big(\frac{x}{1-x}\Big)^2+\Big(\frac{y}{1-y}\Big)^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$B_n(f_i;x,y)\rightsquigarrow g_i(x,y)\ (\theta\text{-equistat})\ (i=0,1,2,3).$$

Hence by Theorem 2.1, we have

$$B_n(f;x,y)\rightsquigarrow g(x,y)\ (\theta\text{-equistat}).$$

3. Rate of Lacunary Equistatistical Convergence

In this section we study the rate of lacunary equistatistical convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators as given in [**11**].

Definition 3.1. Let (a_n) be a positive non-increasing sequence. A sequence (f_r) is said to be *lacunary equistatistically convergent to a function f with the rate* β $(0<\beta<1)$ if for every $\epsilon>0$,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\Lambda_{r,\epsilon}(x,y)}{r^{-\beta}}=0$$

uniformly with respect to $(x, y) \in K$ or equivalently, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\|\Lambda_{r,\epsilon}(x,y)\|_{C_B(X)}}{r^{-\beta}} = 0,$$

where

$$\Lambda_r(x,\epsilon) := \frac{1}{h_r}|\{k \in I_r : |f_k(x,y) - f(x,y)| \geqslant \varepsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write $f_r - f = o(r^{-\beta}))$ (θ-equistat) on K.

We have the following basic lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let* (f_r) *and* (g_r) *be sequences of functions belonging to* $H_\omega(K)$*. Assume that* $f_r - f = o(r^{-\beta_1})$ θ-equistat *on* X *and* $g_r - g = o(r^{-\beta_2})$ θ-equistat*. Let* $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$*. Then the following statement holds:*

(i) $(f_r + g_r) - (f + g) = o(r^{-\beta})$ θ-equistat*,*
(ii) $(f_r - f)(g_r - g) = o(r^{-\beta_1})$ θ-equistat*,*
(iii) $\mu(f_r - f) = o(r^{-\beta_1})$ θ-equistat *for any real number* μ*,*
(iv) $\sqrt{|f_r - f|} = o(r^{-\beta_1})$ θ-equistat.

We recall that the modulus of continuity of a function $f \in H_\omega(K)$ is defined by

$$\omega(f;\delta) = \sup_{s,x\in K}\{|f(s) - f(x)| : |s - x| \leqslant \delta\}\ (\delta > 0).$$

Now we prove the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let* $\{L_r\}$ *be a sequence of positive linear operators from* $H_\omega(K)$ *into* $C_B(K)$*. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

(a) $L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0 = o(r^{-\beta_1})$ (θ-equistat*) on* K*,*
(b) $\omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y}) = o(r^{-\beta_2})$ (θ-equistat*) on* K*,*

where $\delta_{r,x} = \sqrt{L_r\big(\big(\big(\frac{s}{1-s} - \frac{x}{1-x}\big)^2, x\big)\big)}$ *and* $\delta_{r,y} = \sqrt{L_r\big(\big(\big(\frac{t}{1-t} - \frac{y}{1-y}\big)^2, y\big)\big)}$*. Then for all* $f \in H_\omega(K)$*, we have*

$$L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y) = o(r^{-\beta})\ (\theta\text{-equistat})\ \textit{on}\ K,$$

where $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$*.*

PROOF. Let $f \in H_\omega(K)$ and $(x, y) \in K$. Then it is well known that,

$$|L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leqslant M|L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y)| + \big(L_r(g_0; x, y) + \sqrt{L_r(g_0; x, y)}\big)\omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y}),$$

where $M = \|f\|_{H_\omega(K)}$. This yields that

$$|L_r(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leqslant M|(L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y)| + 2\omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y}) +\omega(f; \delta_{r,x}, \delta_{r,y})|(L_r(g_0; x, y) - g_0(x, y)|.$$

Check EQ!!!

Now using the conditions $(a), (b)$ and Lemma 3.1 in the above inequality, we get $L_r(f) - f = o(r^{-\beta})$ (θ-equistat) on K.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

References

1. H. Aktuğlu, H. Gezer, *Lacunary equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **7** (2009), 558–567.
2. M. Balcerzak, K. Dems, A. Komisarski, *Statistical convergence and ideal convergence for sequences of functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **328** (2007), 715–729.
3. N. L. Braha, H. M. Srivastava, S. A. Mohiuddine, *A Korovkin's type approximation theorem for periodic functions via the statistical summability of the generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean*, Appl. Math. Comput. **228** (2014), 162–169.
4. N. L. Braha, V. Loku, H. M. Srivastava, Λ^2*-Weighted statistical convergence and Korovkin and Voronovskaya type theorems*, Appl. Math. Comput. **266** (2015), 675–686.
5. C. Belen, M. Mursaleen, M. Yildirimm, *Statistical A-summability of double sequences and a Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc **49**(4) (2012), 851–861.
6. H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.
7. J. A. Fridy, C. Orhan, *Lacunary statistical convergence*, Pac. J. Math. **160** (1993), 43–51.
8. S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, *Equi-statistical extension of the Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Turk. J. Math. **33** (2009), 159–168 (Erratum: Turk. J. Math. **33** (2009), 427–428).
9. ———, *Equi-statistical* σ*-convergence of positive linear operators*, Comput. Math. Appl. **60** (2010), 2212–2218.
10. S. Karakuş, K. Demirci, O. Duman, *Equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **339** (2008), 1065–1072.
11. Y. Kaya, N. Gönül, *A generalization of lacunary equistatistical convergence of positive linear operators*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, Article ID 514174, 7 pages.
12. P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, (Translated from the Russian edition (1959)), Russian Monographs and Texts on Advanced Mathematics and Physics **III**, Gordon and Breach Publishers, New York; Hindustan Publishing Corporation, Delhi, 1960.
13. W. Meyer-König, K. Zeller, *Bersteinsche Potenzreihen*, Stud. Math. **19** (1960), 89–94.
14. S. A. Mohiuddine, A. Alotaibi, M. Mursaleen, *Statistical summability* $(C, 1)$ *and a Korovkin type approximation theorem*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2012** (2012), 172.
15. M. Mursaleen, A. Alotaibi, *Statistical summability and approximation by de la Vallée-Poussin mean*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 320–324 (Erratum: Appl. Math. Lett. **25** (2012) 665).
16. M. Mursaleen, Rais Ahmad, *Korovkin type approximation theorem through statistical lacunary summability*, Iran. J. Sci. Technol., Trans. A, Sci. **37**(2) (2013), 99–102.
17. M. Mursaleen, V. Karakaya, M. Ertürk, F. Gürsoy, *Weighted statistical convergence and its application to Korovkin type approximation theorem*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), 9132–9137.
18. M. Mursaleen, A. Kiliçman, *Korovkin second theorem via B-statistical A-summability*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2013**, Article ID 598963, 6 pages.
19. H. M. Srivastava, M. Mursaleen, Asif Khan, *Generalized equi-statistical convergence of positive linear operators and associated approximation theorems*, Math. Comput. Modelling **55** (2012), 2040–2051.

Department of Mathematics
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh
India
mursaleenm@gmail.com

(Received 15 11 2015)