

ESTIMATES FOR HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS CONCERNED WITH JACK'S LEMMA

Bülent Nafi Örneke

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a boundary version of the Schwarz lemma for classes $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ is investigated. Also, we estimate a modulus of the angular derivative of $f(z)$ function at the boundary point b with $f(b) = \frac{b}{\sqrt[2]{2}}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$. The sharpness of these inequalities is also proved.

1. Introduction

The classical Schwarz lemma gives information about the behavior of a holomorphic function on the unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ at the origin, subject only to the relatively weak hypotheses that the function map the unit disc to the disc and the origin to the origin. In its most basic form, the familiar Schwarz lemma says this [5, p. 329]:

LEMMA 1.1 (Schwarz lemma). *Let $f: D \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic function that fixes the origin 0. Then*

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| \text{ for all } z \in D$$

and

$$|f'(0)| \leq 1.$$

If $|f(z)| = |z|$ for any $z \neq 0$ or if $|f'(0)| = 1$, then f is a rotation of the unit disc.

For historical background about the Schwarz lemma and its applications on the boundary of the unit disc, we refer to [1, 20].

The following lemma, known as the Jack's Lemma, is needed in the sequel [6].

LEMMA 1.2 (Jack's Lemma). *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant and analytic function in the unit disc D with $f(0) = 0$. If $|f(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C80; Secondary 32A10.

Key words and phrases: holomorphic function, Schwarz lemma on the boundary, Jack's lemma, angular limit, angular derivative.

Communicated by Miodrag Mateljević.

$|z| = r$ at the point z_0 , then

$$\frac{z_0 f'(z_0)}{f(z_0)} = k,$$

where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$$

which are holomorphic in the unit disc D . Also, let $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all functions $f(z)$ which satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \left| \frac{2\alpha f(z)}{z f'(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in D)$$

for some α ($0 < \alpha < 1$). The certain holomorphic functions which is in the class of $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ on the unit disc D are considered in this paper. The subject of the present paper is to discuss some properties of the function $f(z)$ which is belong to the class of $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ by applying Jack's Lemma.

Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(D)$ be a function with $f(0) = 0$. Then f is starlike if and only if $f'(0) \neq 0$ and $\Re\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > 0$, $z \in D$. By $S^*(\alpha)$, we denote the family of starlike univalent functions of order α . $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ if $\Re\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \alpha$. We say that $f \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(z) = \frac{2\alpha f(z)}{z f'(z)}$ maps the unit disc into $B(1, 1)$. Using that conformal mapping $B(w) = (1 + w)^{-1}$ maps D onto $\Re w > \frac{1}{2}$, one can check the classes $S^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ coincide.

Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$) and consider the function

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\beta - 1,$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

Therefore, $h(z)$ is a holomorphic function in D and $h(0) = 0$. Now, let us show that $|h(z)| < 1$ in D . From the definition for $h(z)$, we take

$$(1.2) \quad h(z) + 1 = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\beta$$

If we take the derivative on (1.2), we obtain

$$\beta \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{f(z) - z f'(z)}{(f(z))^2} \right) = h'(z)$$

and

$$\beta \left(1 - \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = z h'(z) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^\beta = \frac{z h'(z)}{1 + h(z)}.$$

We obtain it from this

$$\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} = 1 - \frac{z h'(z)}{\beta(1 + h(z))} = \frac{\beta + \beta h(z) - z h'(z)}{\beta + \beta h(z)}.$$

Thus, we take

$$\frac{2\alpha f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1 = \frac{\beta(2\alpha - 1) + (2\alpha - 1)\beta h(z) + zh'(z)}{\beta + \beta h(z) - zh'(z)}.$$

Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$, we have

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha f(z)}{zf'(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in D).$$

We suppose that there exists a point $z_0 \in D$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |h(z)| = |h(z_0)| = 1.$$

From the Jack's lemma, we obtain

$$h(z_0) = e^{i\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z_0 h'(z_0)}{h(z_0)} = k.$$

So, we have that

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha f(z_0)}{zf'(z_0)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\frac{\beta(2\alpha-1)}{h(z_0)} + \beta(2\alpha-1) + \frac{z_0 h'(z_0)}{h(z_0)}}{\frac{\beta}{h(z_0)} + \beta - \frac{z_0 h'(z_0)}{h(z_0)}} \right| = \left| \frac{\beta(2\alpha-1) + \beta(2\alpha-1)e^{-i\theta} + k}{\beta e^{-i\theta} + \beta - k} \right|$$

and

$$\left| \frac{2\alpha f(z_0)}{zf'(z_0)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\beta(2\alpha-1) + k + \beta(2\alpha-1)(\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)}{\beta - k + \beta(\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)} \right|.$$

This indicates that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{2\alpha f(z_0)}{zf'(z_0)} - 1 \right|^2 &= \left| \frac{\beta(2\alpha-1) + k + \beta(2\alpha-1)(\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)}{\beta - k + \beta\cos\theta - i\beta\sin\theta} \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)^2 + \beta^2(2\alpha-1)^2 + 2\beta(2\alpha-1)(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)\cos\theta}{(\beta - k)^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta - k)\cos\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

We can define the function $p(s)$ by

$$p(s) = \frac{(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)^2 + \beta^2(2\alpha-1)^2 + 2\beta(2\alpha-1)(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)s}{(\beta - k)^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta - k)s}$$

with $s = \cos\theta$. Taking the differentiation of $p(s)$ for s , we take

$$\begin{aligned} p'(s) &= \frac{2\beta(2\alpha-1)(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)[(\beta - k)^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta - k)s]}{((\beta - k)^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta - k)s)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{[\beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta - k)][(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)^2 + \beta^2(2\alpha-1)^2 + 2\beta(2\alpha-1)(2\alpha\beta + k - \beta)s]}{((\beta - k)^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta(\beta - k)s)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta - k < 0$ from $0 < \beta \leq 1$, $k \geq 1$, we obtain $p(s)$ is monotone increasing for s , where $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$.

Therefore, we have

$$p(s) \geq p(-1) = 1.$$

This contradicts the condition $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$. This means that there is no point $z_0 \in D$ such that

$$\max_{|z| \leq |z_0|} |h(z)| = |h(z_0)| = 1.$$

Hence,

$$|h(z)| = \left| \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)^\beta - 1 \right| < 1.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $|h(z)| < 1$ in D . From the Schwarz lemma, we obtain

$$|h(z)| \leq |z| \quad \text{and} \quad |h'(0)| \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \quad \text{and} \quad |f''(0)| \leq \frac{2}{\beta}.$$

Equality is achieved in $|f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$ (for some nonzero $z \in D$) or in $|f''(0)| \leq \frac{2}{\beta}$ if and only if $h(z) = ze^{i\theta}$, that is

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1 + ze^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where θ is a real number.

That proves

LEMMA 1.3. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$), then we have*

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad |f''(0)| \leq \frac{2}{\beta},$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Equality is achieved in $|f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1-|z|)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}$ (for some nonzero $z \in D$) or in (1.3) if and only if f is of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1 + ze^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and θ is a real number.

This lemma yields a " $\mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ version" of the classical Schwarz lemma for holomorphic function of one complex variable.

It is an elementary consequence of the Schwarz lemma that if f extends continuously to some boundary point b with $|b| = 1$, and if $|f(b)| = 1$ and $f'(b)$ exists, then $|f'(b)| \geq 1$.

Robert Osserman [16] has given the inequalities which are called the boundary Schwarz lemma. He has first showed that

$$(1.4) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \frac{2}{1 + |f'(0)|}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad |f'(b)| \geq 1$$

under the assumption $f(0) = 0$ where f is a holomorphic function mapping the unit disc into itself and b is a boundary point to which f extends continuously and $|f(b)| = 1$. In addition, the equality in (1.5) holds if and only if $f(z) = ze^{i\theta}$, θ is real. Also, $b = 1$ in the inequality (1.4) equality occurs for the function $f(z) = z \frac{z+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon z}$, $0 < \epsilon < 1$.

Let f be a holomorphic function in D , $f(0) = 0$ and $f(D) \subset D$. If, in addition, the function f has an angular limit $f(b)$ at $b \in \partial D$, $|f(b)| = 1$, then by the Julia–Wolff lemma the angular derivative $f'(b)$ exists and $1 \leq |f'(b)| \leq \infty$ (see [19]).

Inequality (1.5) and its generalizations have important applications in geometric theory of functions (see, e.g., [5, 19]). Therefore, the interest to such type results is not vanished recently (see, e.g., [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 16–18] and references therein).

The inequality (1.4) is a particular case of a result due to Vladimir N. Dubinin in (see [3]), who strengthened the inequality $|f'(b)| \geq 1$ by involving zeros of the function f .

D. M. Burns and S. G. Krantz [9] and D. Chelst [2] studied the uniqueness part of the Schwarz lemma. That is, the uniqueness part of the boundary Schwarz lemma was established in 1994 by Daniel M. Burns and Steven G. Krantz [9]. In 2001, Dov Chelst [2] generalized a boundary version of Schwarz's lemma proven by D. Burns and S. Krantz and provide sufficient conditions on the local behavior of f near a finite set of boundary points that requires f to be a finite Blaschke product.

X. Tang, T. Liu and J. Lu [11] established a new type of the classical boundary Schwarz lemma for holomorphic self-mappings of the unit polydisk D^n in \mathbb{C}^n . They extended the classical Schwarz lemma at the boundary to high dimensions.

Also, M. Jeong [7] showed some inequalities at a boundary point for different forms of holomorphic functions and found the condition for equality and in [8] a holomorphic self map defined on the closed unit disc with fixed points only on the boundary of the unit disc.

The similar types of results which are related with the subject of the paper can be found in [12–14]. In addition, the concerning results in more general aspects is discussed by M. Mateljević in [15] where was announced on ResearchGate.

In [17], we gave an estimate below $|f'(b)|$ according to the first nonzero Taylor coefficient of f about two zeros, namely $z = 0$ and $z_1 \neq 0$. In [18], we obtained such type of results for other than the above mentioned class.

In [1], Boas discussed the classical Schwarz lemma, the boundary versions discovered by Gaston Julia and Julius Wolff, and some applications.

2. Main Results

In this section, the different versions of the boundary Schwarz Lemma have been discussed. Assuming the existence of angular limit on a boundary point, it has been obtained some inequalities about estimating below the modulus of the derivative of the holomorphic function which is in a certain class. Also, it has been shown that these inequalities are sharp. Then, it has been reached more general results by taking into account the coefficients a_2 and a_3 .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$). Assume that, for some $b \in \partial D$, f has an angular limit $f(b)$ at b , $f(b) = \frac{b}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \left(\frac{1-2\beta}{2\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}.$$

The equality in (2.1) holds if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1+ze^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$ and θ is a real number.

PROOF. Let

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\beta} - 1.$$

Then $h(z)$ is a holomorphic function in the unit disc D and $h(0) = 0$. By the Jack's lemma and since $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$), we take $|h(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$. Also, we have $|h(b)| = 1$ for $b \in \partial D$.

From (1.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq |h'(b)| &= \left| \beta \left(\frac{b}{f(b)}\right)^{\beta-1} \left(\frac{f(b) - bf'(b)}{(f(b))^2}\right) \right| = \beta (\sqrt[\beta]{2})^{\beta-1} \left| \frac{\frac{b}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} - bf'(b)}{\left(\frac{b}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}\right)^2} \right| \\ &= 2\beta \sqrt[\beta]{2} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} - f'(b) \right| \leq 2\beta \sqrt[\beta]{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} + |f'(b)| \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we take

$$1 \leq 2\beta \sqrt[\beta]{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} + |f'(b)| \right)$$

and

$$|f'(b)| \geq \left(\frac{1-2\beta}{2\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}.$$

If $|f'(b)| = \left(\frac{1-2\beta}{2\beta}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}$, then $|h'(b)| = 1$ and so by Osserman [16], $h(z) = ze^{i\theta}$ for some real θ . It means that

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1+ze^{i\theta})^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$). Assume that, for some $b \in \partial D$, f has an angular limit $f(b)$ at b , $f(b) = \frac{b}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{2-2\beta-\beta^2|f''(0)|}{2\beta+\beta^2|f''(0)|} \right).$$

The inequality (2.2) is sharp with equality for the function

$$f(z) = z \frac{(1+az)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}{(z^2+2az+1)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where $a = \frac{\beta|f''(0)|}{2}$, $a \in [0, 1]$ and $0 < \beta \leq 1$ (see (1.3)).

PROOF. Let $h(z)$ be the same as in the proof of Theorem 4. From (1.4), we obtain

$$\frac{2}{1 + |h'(0)|} \leq |h'(b)| \leq 2\beta \sqrt[\beta]{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} + |f'(b)| \right).$$

Since

$$h'(0) = -\beta \frac{f''(0)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |h'(0)| = \beta \frac{|f''(0)|}{2}$$

we take

$$\frac{2}{1 + \beta \frac{|f''(0)|}{2}} \leq 2\beta \sqrt[\beta]{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} + |f'(b)| \right).$$

Therefore, we obtain the inequality (2.2).

Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.2) is sharp. Let

$$f(z) = z \frac{\sqrt[\beta]{1+az}}{\sqrt[\beta]{z^2+2az+1}}.$$

Then,

$$f'(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{\beta + \beta a - 1}{\beta + \beta a} \right).$$

Since $a = \frac{\beta |f''(0)|}{2}$, we take

$$|f'(1)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{2 - 2\beta - \beta^2 |f''(0)|}{2\beta + \beta^2 |f''(0)|} \right) \quad \square$$

The inequality (2.2) can be strengthened as below by taking into account a_3 which is the third coefficient in the expansion of the function $f(z)$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$). Assume that, for some $b \in \partial D$, f has an angular limit $f(b)$ at b , $f(b) = \frac{b}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + \frac{2(1 - \beta |a_2|)^2}{2(1 - \beta^2 |a_2|^2) + \beta |(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} \right).$$

The equality in (2.3) occurs for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1+z)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

PROOF. Let $h(z)$ be the same as in the proof of Theorem 4 and $B(z) = z$. By the maximum principle for each $z \in D$, we have

$$|h(z)| \leq |B(z)|.$$

Therefore,

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{h(z)}{B(z)}$$

is a holomorphic function in D and $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$. In particular, we have

$$(2.4) \quad |\varphi(0)| = \beta |a_2|$$

and

$$|\varphi'(0)| = \frac{\beta}{2} |(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|.$$

Furthermore, the geometric meaning of the derivative and the inequality $|h(z)| \leq |B(z)|$ imply the inequality

$$\frac{bh'(b)}{h(b)} \geq |h'(b)| \geq |B'(b)| = \frac{bB'(b)}{B(b)}.$$

The function

$$T(z) = \frac{\varphi(z) - \varphi(0)}{1 - \varphi(z)\overline{\varphi(0)}}$$

is a holomorphic function in D , $|T(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$, $T(0) = 0$ and $|T(b)| = 1$ for $b \in \partial D$. From (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + |T'(0)|} &\leq |T'(b)| \leq \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} \left| \frac{h'(b)}{B(b)} - \frac{h(b)B'(b)}{B^2(b)} \right| \\ &= \frac{1 + |\varphi(0)|}{1 - |\varphi(0)|} \{|h'(b)| - |B'(b)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|T'(0)| = \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|}{1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2},$$

we take

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{|(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|}{1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2}} &\leq \frac{1 + \beta|a_2|}{1 - \beta|a_2|} \{2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}|f'(b)|) - 1\}, \\ \frac{4(1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2)}{2(1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2) + \beta|(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} \frac{1 - \beta|a_2|}{1 + \beta|a_2|} &\leq 2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}|f'(b)|) - 1, \\ \frac{4(1 - \beta|a_2|)^2}{2(1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2) + \beta|(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} &\leq 2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}|f'(b)|) - 1, \\ 1 + \frac{4(1 - \beta|a_2|)^2}{2(1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2) + \beta|(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} &\leq 2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}|f'(b)|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|f'(b)| \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta + \frac{2(1 - \beta|a_2|)^2}{2(1 - \beta^2|a_2|^2) + \beta|(1 + \beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} \right).$$

Now, we shall show that the inequality (2.3) is sharp. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1 + z)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{(1 + z)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - \frac{1}{\beta}(1 + z)^{\frac{1}{\beta} - 1}z}{(1 + z)^{\frac{2}{\beta}}}, \\ f'(1) &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} - \frac{1}{\beta}2^{\frac{1}{\beta} - 1}}{2^{\frac{2}{\beta}}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f'(1) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{\beta}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right).$$

Since $|a_2| = \frac{1}{\beta}$, (2.3) is satisfied with equality. \square

If $f(z) - z$ has no zeros different from $z = 0$ in Theorem 6, the inequality (2.3) can be further strengthened. It is given by the following Theorem.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(\alpha)$ ($\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$), $f(z) - z$ has no zeros in D except $z = 0$ and $a_2 < 0$. Assume that, for some $b \in \partial D$, f has an angular limit $f(b)$ at b , $f(b) = \frac{b}{\sqrt[2]{\beta}}$, $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt[2]{\beta}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \frac{2\beta|a_2|(\ln|\beta a_2|)^2}{4\beta|a_2|\ln|\beta a_2| - \beta|(1+\beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} \right).$$

In addition, the equality in (2.5) occurs for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(1+z)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

PROOF. Let $a_2 < 0$ be in the expression of the function $f(z)$. Besides, let $h(z)$, $B(z)$ and $\varphi(z)$ be as in the proof of Theorem 6 and the function $f(z) - z$ has no zeros point in D except $D - \{0\}$. Bearing in mind the inequality (2.4), we denote by $\ln \varphi(z)$ the holomorphic branch of the logarithm normed by the condition

$$\ln \varphi(0) = \ln(-\beta a_2) = \ln|\beta a_2| + i \arg(-\beta a_2) = \ln|\beta a_2| < 0.$$

The composite function

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{\ln \varphi(z) - \ln \varphi(0)}{\ln \varphi(z) + \ln \varphi(0)}$$

is holomorphic in the unit disc D , $|\Phi(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$, $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $|\Phi(b)| = 1$ for $b \in \partial D$.

From (1.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 + |\Phi'(0)|} &\leq |\Phi'(b)| = \frac{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|}{|\ln \varphi(b) + \ln \varphi(0)|^2} \left| \frac{\varphi'(b)}{\varphi(b)} \right| = \frac{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|}{|\ln \varphi(b) + \ln \varphi(0)|^2} |\varphi'(b)| \\ &= \frac{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|}{|\ln \varphi(b) + \ln \varphi(0)|^2} \left| \frac{h'(b)}{B(b)} - \frac{h(b)B'(b)}{B^2(b)} \right| \\ &= \frac{-2 \ln \varphi(0)}{\ln^2 \varphi(0) + \arg^2 \varphi(b)} \{|h'(b)| - |B'(b)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, it can be seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(z) &= \frac{2 \ln \varphi(0)}{(\ln \varphi(z) + \ln \varphi(0))^2} \frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)}, \\ \Phi'(0) &= \frac{1}{2 \ln \varphi(0)} \frac{\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(0)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\Phi'(0)| = \frac{1}{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|} \left| \frac{\varphi'(0)}{\varphi(0)} \right| = \frac{1}{-2 \ln |\beta a_2|} \frac{\frac{\beta}{2} |(1+\beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|}{|\beta a_2|}$$

Therefore, replacing $\arg^2 \varphi(b)$ by zero, we take

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{1 - \frac{1}{2 \ln |\beta a_2|} \frac{\frac{\beta}{2} |(1+\beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|}{|\beta a_2|}} &\leq \frac{-2}{\ln |\beta a_2|} \{2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} |f'(b)|) - 1\}, \\ \frac{-2|\beta a_2|(\ln |\beta a_2|)^2}{2 \ln |\beta a_2| |\beta a_2 - \frac{\beta}{2} |(1+\beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} &\leq \{2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} |f'(b)|) - 1\}, \\ 1 - \frac{2|\beta a_2|(\ln |\beta a_2|)^2}{2 \ln |\beta a_2| |\beta a_2 - \frac{\beta}{2} |(1+\beta)a_2^2 - 2a_3|} &\leq 2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} |f'(b)|) \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain (2.5) with an obvious equality case. \square

We note that the inequality (1.4) has been used in the proofs of Theorem 6 and Theorem 7. So, there are both a_2 and a_3 in the right side of the inequalities. But, if we use (1.5) instead of (1.4), we obtain weaker but more simpler inequality (not including a_3). It is formulated in the following Theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 7, we have*

$$(2.6) \quad |f'(b)| \geq \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \frac{1}{4} \ln |\beta a_2| \right)$$

The equality in (2.6) holds if and only if

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{\left(1 + z e^{\frac{1+z e^{i\theta}}{1-z e^{i\theta}} \ln(-\beta a_2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}},$$

where $a_2 < 0$ and θ is a real number.

PROOF. From Theorem 7, using the inequality (1.5) for the function $\Phi(z)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq |\Phi'(b)| &= \frac{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|}{|\ln \varphi(b) + \ln \varphi(0)|^2} \left| \frac{\varphi'(b)}{\varphi(b)} \right| = \frac{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|}{|\ln \varphi(b) + \ln \varphi(0)|^2} |\varphi'(b)| \\ &= \frac{|2 \ln \varphi(0)|}{|\ln \varphi(b) + \ln \varphi(0)|^2} \left| \frac{h'(b)}{B(b)} - \frac{h(b)B'(b)}{B^2(b)} \right| \\ &= \frac{-2 \ln \varphi(0)}{\ln^2 \varphi(0) + \arg^2 \varphi(b)} \{|h'(b)| - |B'(b)|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\arg^2 \varphi(b)$ by zero, we take

$$(2.7) \quad 1 \leq \frac{-2}{\ln |\beta a_2|} \{2\beta(1 + 2^{\frac{1}{\beta}} |f'(b)|) - 1\}$$

Therefore, we have the inequality (2.6).

If $|f'(b)| = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt[\beta]{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \beta - \frac{1}{4} \ln |\beta a_2| \right)$ from (2.7) and $|\Phi'(b)| = 1$, we obtain

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{\left(1 + z e^{\frac{1+z e^{i\theta}}{1-z e^{i\theta}} \ln(-\beta a_2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}}. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor M. Mateljević for his constructive comments and suggestions on the earlier version of this paper.

References

1. H. P. Boas, *Julius and Julia: mastering the art of the Schwarz lemma*, Am. Math. Mon. **117** (2010), 770–785.
2. D. Chelst, *A generalized Schwarz lemma at the boundary*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), 3275–3278.
3. V. N. Dubinin, *The Schwarz inequality on the boundary for functions regular in the disc*, J. Math. Sci., New York **122** (2004), 3623–3629.
4. ———, *Bounded holomorphic functions covering no concentric circles*, J. Math. Sci., New York **207** (2015), 825–831.
5. G. M. Golusin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of Complex Variable*, 2nd ed., Moscow, 1966.[in Russian]
6. I. S. Jack, *Functions starlike and convex of order α* , J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. **3** (1971), 469–474.
7. M. Jeong, *The Schwarz lemma and its applications at a boundary point*, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ., Ser. B, Pure Appl. Math. **21** (2014), 275–284.
8. ———, *The Schwarz lemma and boundary fixed points*, J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ., Ser. B, Pure Appl. Math. **18** (2011), 219–227.
9. D. M. Burns, S. G. Krantz, *Rigidity of holomorphic mappings and a new Schwarz Lemma at the boundary*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **7** (1994), 661–676.
10. X. Tang, T. Liu, *The Schwarz lemma at the boundary of the egg domain B_{p_1, p_2} in \mathbb{C}^n* , Can. Math. Bull. **58** (2015), 381–392.
11. X. Tang, T. Liu, J. Lu, *Schwarz lemma at the boundary of the unit polydisk in \mathbb{C}^n* , Sci. China Math. **58** (2015), 1–14.
12. M. Mateljević, *The lower bound for the modulus of the derivatives and Jacobian of harmonic injective mappings*, Filomat **29**(2) (2015), 221–244.
13. ———, *Distortion of harmonic functions and harmonic quasiconformal quasi-isometry*, Revue Roum. Math. Pures Appl. **51**(5–6) (2006), 711–722.
14. ———, *Ahlfors-Schwarz lemma and curvature*, Kragujevac J. Math. **25** (2003), 155–164.
15. ———, *Note on Rigidity of Holomorphic Mappings & Schwarz and Jack Lemma* (in preparation), ResearchGate.
16. R. Osserman, *A sharp Schwarz inequality on the boundary*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3513–3517.
17. T. Aliyev Azeroglu, B. N. Örnek, *A refined Schwarz inequality on the boundary*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. **58** (2013), 571–577.
18. B. N. Örnek, *Sharpened forms of the Schwarz lemma on the boundary*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50** (2013), 2053–2059.
19. Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
20. M. Elin, F. Jacobzon, M. Levenshtein, D. Shoikhet, *The Schwarz lemma: Rigidity and Dynamics*, Harmonic and Complex Analysis and its Applications, Springer International Publishing, 2014, 135–230.

Department of Computer Engineering
 Amasya University
 Merkez-Amasya
 Turkey
 nafiornek@gmail.com

(Received 26 10 2015)
 (Revised 23 07 2016)